

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                     | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.  | CONFIRMATION NO |  |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/017,375 12/14/2001               |                | Harinath Garudadri   | 010331               | 8079            |  |
| 23696 7                             | 590 01/23/2006 |                      | EXAMINER             |                 |  |
| QUALCOMM, INC<br>5775 MOREHOUSE DR. |                |                      | OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N |                 |  |
| SAN DIEGO,                          |                |                      | ART UNIT PAPER NUM   |                 |  |
| ·                                   |                |                      | 2655                 |                 |  |

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | Application  | n No.   | Applicant(s)                                 |                 |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |  | 10/017,37  | 5   | GARUDADRI ET                                 | GARUDADRI ET AL |  |  |  |
|   |  | Examiner   |   | Art Unit                                     |                 |  |  |  |
|   |  |  | Opsasnick   | 2655   |                 |  |  |  |
| Period for  | The MAILING DATE of this communicating Reply   | on appears on the  | cover sheet with th   | e correspondence ac                          | idress          |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |  |   |  |                 |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |   |  |                 |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠ F   | Responsive to communication(s) filed or  | n <u>28 October 200</u> 5  | <u>5</u> .  |  |                 |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ ¯  | <ul><li>☑ This action is FINAL.</li><li>2b) ☐ This action is non-final.</li></ul>  |  |   |  |                 |  |  |  |
|   |  |  |   |  |                 |  |  |  |
| Dispositio  | on of Claims   |  |   |  |                 |  |  |  |
| 5)□ (<br>6)⊠ (<br>7)□ (   | Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.               |  |   |  |                 |  |  |  |
| Application   | on Papers  |  |   |  |                 |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ T<br>/<br>!  | The specification is objected to by the ExThe drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2005  Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by | is/are: a)⊠ acce<br>to the drawing(s) b<br>correction is require | e held in abeyance. sed if the drawing(s) is                    | See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>objected to. See 37 C | FR 1.121(d).    |  |  |  |
| Priority u  | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |  |                 |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>   |  |  |   |  |                 |  |  |  |
| Attachment(   | •  |  | A) []   | ony (PTO 442)                                |                 |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice 3) Inform   | of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO No(s)/Mail Date  |  | 4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other: |  | O-152)          |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2655

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Kay et al</u> (5703881) in view of <u>Pickering et al (6738457)</u>.

As per claim 1, Kay et al (5703881) teaches:

"A subscriber unit, comprising:" as a multi-subscriber unit (Fig. 1, subblock 22);

"a feature extraction module configured to extract a plurality of features of a speech signal" as speech data in PCM format (col. 7 lines 5-6);

"a voice activity detection module configured to detect voice activity within the speech signal and provides an indication of the detected voice activity" as voice activity detector (VAD – 56) detects voice/speech (col. 7 lines 5-10);

"a transmitter coupled to the feature extraction module and the voice activity detection module and configured to transmit the indication of detected voice activity ahead of the plurality of features" as first reporting the voice activity to the MSU controller, then based on that activity,

Art Unit: 2655

the MSU controller calls for the compressed speech data, based on the type of voice activity detected (col. 7 line 30-36).

Kay et al (5703881) does not explicitly teach transmission of the parameters to a speech recognition device (Kay et al (5703881) teaches wireless transmission, but not to a speech recognition device). Pickering et al (6738457) teaches the transfer of parameters to a recognition device (col. 6 line 64 – col. 7 line 27). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of speech signal processing to modify the system as taught by Kay et al (5703881) with transmission to a recognition device located elsewhere because that particular system may have better processing capabilities (col. 7 lines 20-21).

As per claim 2, Kay et al (5703881) teaches:

"A subscriber unit, comprising" as a multi-subscriber unit (Fig. 1, subblock 22)

"means for extracting a plurality of features of a speech signal" as speech data in PCM format (col. 7 lines 5-6);

"means for detecting voice activity with the speech signal and providing an indication of the detected voice activity" as voice activity detector (VAD - 56) detects voice/speech (col. 7 lines 5-10);

"a transmitter coupled to the feature extraction means and the voice activity detection means and configured to transmit the indication of detected voice activity ahead of the plurality of features" as first reporting the voice activity to the MSU controller, then based on that activity, the MSU controller calls for the compressed speech data, based on the type of voice activity detected (col. 7 line 30-36).

Application/Control Number: 10/017,375 Page 4

Art Unit: 2655

As per claims 3,4, <u>Kay et al (5703881)</u> teaches:

"further comprising a means for combining the plurality of features with the indication of detected voice activity, wherein the indication of detected voice activity is ahead of the plurality of features" as an embodiment of the speech VAD, speech extraction/compression functions are combined together (col. 7 lines 9-12; Fig. 6a).

As per claim 5, <u>Kay et al (5703881)</u> teaches:

"A method of transmitting speech activity, comprising:" as transmitting of speech information (col. 6 line 65 – col. 7 line 4);

"extracting a plurality of features of a speech signal" as speech data in PCM format (col. 7 lines 5-6);

"detecting voice activity within the speech signal and providing an indication of the detected voice activity, and" as voice activity detector (VAD – 56) detects voice/speech (col. 7 lines 5-10);

"transmitting the indication of detected voice activity ahead of the plurality of features" as first reporting the voice activity to the MSU controller, then based on that activity, the MSU controller calls for the compressed speech data, based on the type of voice activity detected (col. 7 line 30-36).

As per claim 6, Kay et al (5703881) teaches:

Art Unit: 2655

"A method of transmitting speech activity, comprising:" as transmitting of speech information (col. 6 line 65 - col. 7 line 4);

"extracting a plurality of features of a speech signal" as speech data in PCM format (col. 7 lines 5-6);

"detecting voice activity with the speech signal and providing an indication of the detected voice activity; and" as voice activity detector (VAD - 56) detects voice/speech (col. 7 lines 5-10);

"combining the plurality of features with an indication of the detected voice activity, thereby creating a combined indication of detected voice activity and features, wherein the indication of detected voice activity is ahead of the plurality of features." as first reporting the voice activity to the MSU controller, then based on that activity, the MSU controller calls for the compressed speech data, based on the type of voice activity detected (col. 7 line 30-36), and an embodiment of the speech VAD, speech extraction/compression functions are combined together (col. 7 lines 9-12; Fig. 6a).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in 3. view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2655

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (571)272-7623, who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Wayne Young, can be reached at (571)272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WAYNE YOUNG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

mno 1/17/06